

REMARKS

With claim 1 previously pending, this amendment cancels claim 1 and adds new claims 25-39.

Defective Oath/Declaration

The Office Action states that the declaration originally filed is defective because it does not identify the mailing address, or the country of origin of each inventor. In response, Applicants' are submitting a new declaration with this Reply that includes the mailing address and country of origin of each inventor. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be withdrawn.

Objection to Specification

The specification stands objected to because of informalities pointed out by the Office Action in paragraph [0001]. Applicants have amended paragraph [0001] of the specification in accordance with the recommendation of the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to the specification be withdrawn.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claim 1 stands rejected based on statutory type double patenting by claiming the same invention as claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,657,455. Claim 1 has been cancelled rendering this rejection moot.

Conclusion

In light of the above amendments and remarks, claims 25-39 are all believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of these claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/24/05

By: Thomas A. Ward
Thomas A. Ward
Reg. No. 35,732

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800